

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Charles Olando Simmons, # 15580-171,)	C.A. No. 4:10-1454-TLW-TER
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
Sheriff Sam Parker;)	
Administrator Richie Rawlings,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

The *pro se* plaintiff filed this action pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 on June 8, 2010. (Doc. # 1). This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). (Doc. # 46). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that the motion filed by defendants for summary judgment be granted in its entirety and that this case be dismissed. The Report was filed on August 29, 2011. To date, no objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 63), defendants' motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED** in its entirety (Doc. # 48) and this case is **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

October 18, 2011
Florence, South Carolina